(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the steps proposed to be taken [illegible] bogus voters to ensure credibility [illegible]

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND [illegible]
(a) to (c) The requisite information is [illegible] Table of the House.

Pension [illegible]

†157. SHRIMATI PREMA CARIAPPA
SHRI MOTILAL VORA:

Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state [illegible]

(a) whether Government are aware of the fact that [illegible] the Supreme Court get insufficient amount [illegible] for them to have a decent livelihood;

(b) if so, whether Government would [illegible] anomalies prevailing in the pension [illegible]

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI H.R. BHARDWAJ): (a) to (d) The service conditions of the Supreme Court Judges including pension and other pensionary benefits payable to them are regulated under the Supreme Court Judges (Salaries and Conditions of Service) Act, 1958.

Consequent upon revision of pensionary [illegible] Assistant Service, on account of merger of 50% of [illegible] Relief with basic pay/basic pension [illegible] it was felt necessary to give parity in pension [illegible] Judges of Supreme Court and High Courts from [illegible] the rate of pension and family pension of an category [illegible] Courts and Supreme Court are being increased [illegible] from 1.4.2004. It has also been proposed to [illegible] qualifying service to the service of a Supreme Court [illegible] purposes of pension who is directly appointed [illegible]

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

under Article 124(3)(b) of the Constitution of India so that they receive substantial pension *vis-a-vis* the other judges of Supreme Court who are elevated from the High Courts.

A Bill, namely, the High Court and Supreme Court Judges (Salaries and Conditions of Service) Amendment Bill, 2005 has been introduced by the Government in the Lok Sabha on 12.5.2005 to achieve the above objects.

Reservation for OBCs in Judicial Services

58. SHRI AMAR SINGH:
SHRI ABU ASIM AZMI:

Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is no reservation for the persons belonging to OBCs in Delhi Judicial Services despite the fact that such reservation has been made by Government in all services including IAS/ IPS etc.; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor and by when, such reservation is likely to be made and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI H.R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) Under article 235 of the Constitution of India, the administrative control over the members of Subordinate Judicial Service vests with the concerned High Court. Therefore, the Central Government does not maintain data on reservation for the persons belonging to OBCs in Delhi Judicial Services.

The criticism of Election Commission

†59. SHRIMATI MAYA SINGH: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that despite the Election Commission being

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.